RESEARCH ARTICLE

Open Access



The MRZ reaction helps to distinguish rheumatologic disorders with central nervous involvement from multiple sclerosis

Tilman Hottenrott^{1*}, Rick Dersch¹, Benjamin Berger¹, Dominique Endres², Daniela Huzly³, Jens Thiel⁴, Sebastian Rauer¹, Oliver Stich¹, Ulrich Salzer⁴ and Nils Venhoff⁴

Abstract

Background: Some rheumatologic disorders may initially manifest with central nervous system (CNS) affection, mimicking the clinical, magnetic resonance imaging, and cerebrospinal fluid findings of multiple sclerosis (MS). The MRZ reaction (MRZR), composed of the three respective antibody indices (Als) against measles, rubella, and varicella zoster virus, has been found positive frequently in MS patients. However, it is unclear whether the MRZR is helpful to distinguish rheumatologic disorders with CNS involvement (RDwCNS) from MS.

Methods: The MRZR was evaluated in patients with RDwCNS (n = 23), MS (n = 46; age and sex matched to patients with RDwCNS), and other inflammatory autoimmune neurological diseases affecting the CNS (OIND; n = 48). Both the stringency levels that have been used in previous MRZR studies, MRZR-1 (\geq 1 of 3 Als positive) and MRZR-2 (\geq 2 of 3 Als positive), were applied.

Results: There was no statistically significant difference in the prevalence of positive MRZR between patients with RDwCNS (MRZR-1: 13.0% and MRZR-2: 8.7%, respectively) and OIND (MRZR-1: 22.9% and MRZR-2: 8.3%, respectively). Compared to these two study cohorts, the MS group exhibited significantly higher prevalences of positive MRZR (MRZR-1: 82.6%, MRZR-2: 63.0%; p < 0.005 each).

Conclusions: Considering the high specificity of MRZR-2 for MS found in this study, MRZR-2 can be a useful diagnostic tool for distinguishing MS from RDwCNS or OIND.

Keywords: Neuropsychiatric systemic lupus erythematosus (NPSLE), ANCA-associated vasculitides, Behçet's disease, Multiple sclerosis (MS), Intrathecal polyspecific antiviral immune response, MRZ reaction (MRZR)

Background

Some rheumatologic disorders may initially present with central nervous system (CNS) involvement, mimicking the clinical, magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), and cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) findings of multiple sclerosis (MS).

In connective tissue diseases such as systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE), neuropsychiatric manifestations

* Correspondence: tilman.hottenrott@uniklinik-freiburg.de

¹Department of Neurology and Neurophysiology, Faculty of Medicine, Medical Center – University of Freiburg, Breisacher Strasse 64, D-79106 Freiburg, Germany

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

(NPSLE) are found in up to 66% patients and mostly affect the CNS [1]. Other central NPSLE, including demyelinating syndromes (e.g. optic neuritis) or transverse myelitis may also occur [2]. In addition, NPSLE develops in 28%–40% of patients before or around the time of the initial diagnosis of SLE [2]. Although several studies have reported different pathways for explaining the pathophysiology of NPSLE, the exact underlying mechanisms are still unclear [2]. The intrathecal synthesis of antibodies and the migration of T cells, B cells, macrophages, and monocytes across the blood-brain barrier into the CNS were observed [1]. These mechanisms potentially result in CSF alterations (mild pleocytosis in



© The Author(s). 2018 **Open Access** This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated.

30%–40% [2] or elevated immunoglobulin G (IgG) indices in up to 75% [2], and MRI findings (inflammatory T2 lesions in 40% [3]) similar to those in MS patients. Thus, NPSLE can be difficult to distinguish from MS; this is also true for other rheumatologic disorders with CNS involvement (RDwCNS) such as primary vasculitides, including granulomatosis with polyangiitis (GPA, Wegener's granulomatosis) [4, 5], microscopic polyangiitis (MPA) [6], and Behçet's disease [7]. For several reasons the reliable differentiation between SLE and MS is important, at least because of significant differences in treatment regimens [2, 8].

The MRZ reaction (MRZR) is a polyspecific, intrathecal humoral immune response directed against the three neurotropic viruses: measles (M), rubella (R), and varicella zoster (Z), assessed using the three respective antibody indices (AIs) [9]. Several studies have shown a high prevalence of positive MRZR in the majority of relapsingremitting MS (RRMS) [10] and primary progressive MS (PPMS) patients [11]. The pathophysiological role of MRZR currently remains unclear; the most important role of MRZR in clinical practice is its potential to establish a differential diagnosis of MS because a positive MRZR appears to be highly specific for MS [10]. MRZR was negative in healthy subjects [12]; in most patients with infectious neurological disorders [13, 14]; and in the majority of patients with other inflammatory autoimmune neurological diseases (OIND), including neuromyelitis optica [15], paraneoplastic neurological syndromes [16], neurosarcoidosis, and acute disseminated encephalomyelitis [17].

However, to our knowledge, the prevalence of positive MRZR in RDwCNS patients has not been systematically studied; only few case series with a small sample size have been reported on this subject [10]. Consequently, whether MRZR can be helpful in distinguishing MS from RDwCNS is unclear. Therefore, this study was planned with the objective of systematically assessing and comparing the prevalence of positive MRZR in a well-defined cohort of RDwCNS patients with that in MS and OIND patients.

Methods

Patients

This was a retrospective study that enrolled patients treated at the University Medical Centre Freiburg in Germany between 2005 and 2016, using an electronic database search. Lumbar puncture (LP) had already been performed in all patients for clinical purposes after obtaining written consent. Paired CSF and serum samples were collected on the same day and stored according to the consensus protocol for the standardization of CSF collection and biobanking [18]. Haemolytic CSF samples were excluded.

Patients with RDwCNS had been diagnosed by board certified rheumatologists of the University Medical -Centre Freiburg. Systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE) was identified according to the 2007 revised criteria for the classification of SLE [19]; ANCA associated vasculitides, both, MPO-associated MPA and PR3-associated GPA (Wegener's granulomatosis) according to the revised international Chapel Hill consensus conference nomenclature of vasculitides [20, 21], and Behçet's disease according to the International Study Group for Behcet's disease [22]. CNS involvement of RDwCNS was defined on the basis of clinical signs such as headache, neuropsychological disturbances or focal neurological disturbances (all without better explanation), and the presence of either of the following two paraclinical findings: (1) inflammatory changes in the CSF, such as elevated cell count, intrathecal immunoglobulin synthesis, positive oligoclonal bands (OCB), or significant disturbance in the blood-CSF barrier indicated by an age-related elevation in the albumin quotient or (2) inflammatory signs in brain or spinal MRI compatible with RDwCNS as assessed by neuroradiologists of the University Medical - Centre Freiburg. Patients with suspected RDwCNS were excluded if they fulfilled the 2010 revised McDonald criteria for MS [23]. All patients with RDwCNS who fulfilled these criteria were enrolled in this study.

Diagnosis of MS was established according to the 2010 revised McDonald criteria with particularly careful exclusion of the relevant differential diagnoses [23]. MS patients were drawn from a cohort of MS patients (comprising 103 patients with PPMS and 100 with RRMS) from an earlier study [11]. A previously established in-house matching software was used to select the MS patients for this study who best matched for age and sex with the RDwCNS patients in a 2:1 (MS:RDwCNS) ratio [24].

As the third study group, forty-eight patients with OIND, for whom MRZR test results were available from previous research [17], were enrolled. Data concerning the ethnicity and immunization status of study patients were not available. This study was approved by the ethics committee of the University Medical Centre Freiburg (EK-Fr 489/14).

Materials and methods

MRZR was analysed at the Department of Virology of the University of Freiburg. All routine CSF measurements were carried out in the CSF laboratory of the University Medical Centre Freiburg. Total immunoglobulin concentrations in the serum and the CSF were detected nephelometrically (ProSpect System, Siemens, Germany), while measles-, rubella- and varicella-IgG (IgGspec) levels in the CSF and the serum were measured using enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (Serion *classic* ELISA, Germany). MRZR was determined from the three respective virusspecific AIs that were calculated as follows: AI (antibody index) = QIgG[spec]/QIgG[total], if QIgG[total] < Qlim, and AI = QIgG[spec]/Qlim, if QIgG[total] > Qlim [25]. The upper reference range of QIgG, Qlim, was calculated according to Reiber's formula [25]. For a positive AI result indicative of intrathecal IgG production against the respective pathogen, a threshold of \geq 1.5 was applied. Most previous studies have varied as to how many positive AIs are required for a positive MRZR [11]. In this study, MRZR-2 was defined as that with two or three positive AIs, and MRZR-1 as that requiring only one or more positive AIs. In cases where an AI could not be calculated because no antibodies were detected in the CSF, the AI was considered as 1.0 (negative).

As the focus in this study was on RDwCNS, additional data of these patients regarding the following were obtained: (1) results of brain/spinal MRI performed and routinely assessed at the Department of Neuroradiology of the University Medical - Centre Freiburg from medical records, (2) results of the CSF routine test (including the parameters of total cell count, age-related albumin quotient, quantitative intrathecal antibody synthesis, and OCB) from medical records, and (3) the AIs of four characteristic autoantibodies (dsDNA, Cardiolipin, PR3, and MPO). The serum samples of RDwCNS patients were therefore initially screened for the presence of autoantibodies associated with rheumatologic disorders if sufficient serum sample was available after the routine examinations and the MRZR measurements. Antinuclear antibody (ANA) staining pattern was assessed using indirect immunofluorescence (IIF) on 2100-Ro HEp-2000° cells (Fluorescent ANA-RoTest System, Immuno Concepts N.A. Ltd., Sacramento, CA, USA). RDwCNS Patients with positive IIF were screened for antibodies using enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) directed against extractable nuclear antigens (ENA) using ANA Profil 3 (DL1590-3 G, EUROIM-MUN AG, Luebeck, Germany). Antibodies against double stranded deoxyribonucleic acid (DNA) were detected using dsDNA IgG ELISA (212,196, Euro Diagnostica AB, Malmö, Sweden). Phospholipid antibodies were measured using Cardiolipin IgG ELISA (212,796, Euro Diagnostica AB, Malmö, Sweden). ANCA specificity for PR3 (Orgentec Diagnostika GmbH, Mainz, Germany) or myeloperoxidase (MPO) (Euroimmun, Medizinische Labordiagnostika AG, Luebeck, Germany) was measured using ELISA as well. Assessment was done according to the manufacturers' reference ranges with the upper normal limit of 40 U/mL for dsDNA, 14 U/mL for Cardiolipin, 10 U/mL for PR3, and 20 U/ mL for MPO. In case of an elevated concentration of antibodies against dsDNA, Cardiolipin, PR3, or MPO in serum, the CSF titre was additionally measured for calculating the respective AI, as described for MRZR above. The detection of OCB was performed using an isoelectric focusing technique on agarose gel followed by immunofixation (Hydragel Isofocusing, Sebia, France). A positive OCB result was defined as two or more OCB [26].

Statistical analyses

Statistical testing of the differences between the three study groups with respect to sex, the prevalence of positive single MRZ-AIs, the prevalence of positive MRZR and OCB was performed using Fisher's exact test (twotailed). Differences of the mean age between the groups were tested using Student's t-test (two-tailed) as this data was consistent with a normal distribution according to the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test. The other metric items (mean AI and mean disease duration) were compared with the Mann-Whitney U test (two-tailed) as their data not normally distributed according to was the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test. A possible correlation between the disease duration (defined as the time interval between clinical onset and the time of LP) and the MRZR status was investigated using the point biserial correlation analysis (two-tailed). A p-value < 0.05 was regarded as statistically significant.

Results

Study population

Fifty patients diagnosed with RDwCNS were retrospectively screened to confirm the diagnosis and for the availability of sufficient clinical, CSF, and MRI data as well as adequate CSF/serum samples for the determination of MRZR. Twenty-seven patients had to be excluded because of missing CSF/serum samples. Consequently, the RDwCNS group comprised 23 well-characterized patients, including 20 patients with connective tissue disease (18 with SLE and two with an undifferentiated connective tissue disease (UCTD)) and three patients with primary vasculitides (one MPO-associated MPA, one PR3associated GPA, and one Behçet's disease). For comparison, a sample of 46 MS patients (31 with RRMS and 15 with PPMS) matched for age and sex to RDwCNS patients was drawn. The OIND group comprised 22 patients with neurosarcoidosis, 19 with autoimmune encephalitis, and 7 with acute disseminated encephalomyelitis. Table 1 shows the key demographic features of the three study cohorts.

Virus-specific antibody indices

Detailed results of the MRZ-AIs in the three study groups are shown in Table 2. No statistically significant differences were found between the RDwCNS and OIND patients concerning the frequency of positivity and the mean values of any of the three AIs. Compared to both of these study cohorts, the MS group showed a significantly higher frequency of positive AIs for each virus and higher mean AI values for all three viruses.

Page 4 of 9

Study group	RDwCNS (n = 23)	MS (n = 46)	OIND (n = 48)	Comparison statistics
Sex, Females in %	78.3	78.3	41.7	RDwCNS vs. MS: n.s. Both groups vs. OIND: <i>p</i> < 0.01.
Mean age in years at the time of LP (range; SD)	44.8 (21–75; 16.8)	43.9 (20–74; 15.6)	51.8 (4–84; 18.4)	All comparisons: n.s.
Disease duration at the time of LP in months (range; SD)	77.0 (0–516; 112.6)	60.5 (0–468; 91.1)	11.6 (0–120; 24.7)	RDwCNS vs. MS: n.s. Both groups vs. OIND: p < 0.005

RDwCNS Rheumatologic disorders with CNS involvement, MS Multiple sclerosis, OIND Other inflammatory autoimmune neurological diseases [17], LP Lumbar puncture, SD Standard deviation, n.s Not significant

MRZR

A positive MRZR was found in a minority of the patients with RDwCNS (MRZR-2: 8.7% and MRZR-1: 13.0%) and OIND (MRZR-2: 8.3% and MRZR-1: 22.9%); the differences in both the MRZR definitions between these two cohorts were statistically not significant. The only two MRZR-2 positive RDwCNS patients were both female. The first patient was a 45-year-old woman diagnosed with SLE with CNS involvement. She had developed neuropsychological disturbances (impaired concentration and memory as well as mild aphasia), hemihypesthesia, and headache. Abnormal diagnostic parameters obtained at the time of the first diagnosis included positive ANA (1:400) with specificity against dsDNA (154 U/mL) in serum; lowered C3 and C4 along with increased C3d in the serum; inflammatory CSF changes (elevated cell count of 7/µL, intrathecal IgG synthesis, and positive OCB exclusively in the CSF). Her brain MRI displayed a noticeable amount of multiple, predominantly peripherally subcortical T2 lesions without located contrast enhancement that were found to be compatible with CNS affection of SLE. The second MRZR-2 positive RDwCNS patient was 75 years old at the time of the first diagnosis with an UCTD. The first neurological symptom had occurred thirteen years prior with unilateral facial palsy accompanied by intrathecal IgG synthesis and positive OCB in the CSF. Ten years after this, gradually, progressive paraparesis with gait disturbance, myalgia, and headache occurred. Comprehensive diagnostic work-up revealed highly positive ANA (1:3200) with anticentromere specificity in ENA differentiation, persisting intrathecal IgG synthesis, and positive OCB in the CSF with multiple infra- and supratentorial subcortical T2 lesions without contrast agent enhancement in the MRI of the brain and the spinal cord that were assessed as chronic inflammatory features. No clinical signs of the limited cutaneous form of systemic sclerosis were found.

In contrast to the RDwCNS and OIND patients, the MS patients showed a significantly higher prevalence of positive MRZR regardless of the definition used (MRZR-2:

Table 2 Frequencies of positive antibody indexes (AIs) for MRZ

Study group	RDwCNS(n = 23)	MS(n = 46)	OIND (n = 48)	Comparison statistics
Patients with 0 positive Al	87.0%	17.4%	77.1%	RDwCNS vs. OIND: n.s. Both groups vs. MS: $p < 0.0001$.
Patients with 1 positive Al	4.3%	19.6%	14.6%	All comparisons: n.s.
Patients with 2 positive Als	8.7%	28.3%	6.3%	Both groups vs. RDwCNS: n.s. OIND vs. MS: p < 0.01
Patients with 3 positive Als	0%	34.8%	2.1%	RDwCNS vs. OIND: n.s. Both groups vs. MS: $p < 0.001$.
Positive Als for M	8.7%	63.0%	6.3%	RDwCNS vs. OIND: n.s. Both groups vs. MS: p < 0.0001.
Positive Als for R	4.3%	63.0%	12.5%	RDwCNS vs. OIND: n.s. Both groups vs. MS: p < 0.0001.
Positive Als for Z	8.7%	54.3%	14.6%	RDwCNS vs. OIND: n.s. Both groups vs. MS: p < 0.0001.
Mean AI values for M (range; SD)	1.1 (0.6–2.0; 0.3)	4.0 (0.6–52.2; 7.6)	1.0 (0.6–2.6; 0.3)	RDwCNS vs. OIND: n.s. Both groups vs. MS: p < 0.0001.
Mean AI values for R (range; SD)	1.1 (0.6–3.6; 0.6)	3.4 (0.7–19.8; 4.2)	1.2 (0.6–8.3; 1.2)	RDwCNS vs. OIND: n.s. Both groups vs. MS: p < 0.0001.
Mean AI values for Z (range; SD)	1.2 (0.6–4.2; 0.7)	3.9 (0.6–25.4; 5.2)	1.2 (0.4–3.8; 0.6)	RDwCNS vs. OIND: n.s. Both groups vs. MS: p < 0.01.

RDwCNS Rheumatologic disorders with CNS involvement, *MS* Multiple sclerosis, *OIND* Other inflammatory autoimmune neurological diseases [17], *SD* Standard deviation; *positive AI* Antibody index for measles (M), rubella (R) or varicella zoster (Z) \geq 1.5; *n.s* Not significant

63.0% and MRZR-1: 82.6%; p < 0.005 for the comparisons of MRZR-1 and MRZR-2 with those of the RDwCNS and OIND groups; Fig. 1). By using a higher threshold of \geq 2.0 for a positive AI, the prevalence of positive MRZR-2 dropped to 0% in the RDwCNS group, to 4.1% in the OIND group, and to 47.8% in the MS group (p < 0.005 for each comparison with the MS group).

There was no significant correlation between the disease duration and the MRZR result of patients in all three study groups (RDwCNS: $r_{pb} - 0.19$; MS: $r_{pb} + 0.18$; OIND: $r_{pb} + 0.19$; p > 0.05 for all groups).

Routine CSF findings of patients with RDwCNS

The majority of patients with RDwCNS (78.3%) showed inflammatory CSF signs, while the others (21.7%) had completely normal routine CSF results. The most frequent pathological findings were an elevated total CSF cell count (43.5%) (median cell count of those patients with pleocytosis: $9.5/\mu$ L; range: $6-433/\mu$ L; SD: 126.5), an elevated albumin quotient (43.5%), OCB (39.1%), and the intrathecal synthesis of IgG (21.7%), immunoglobulin (Ig) M (17.4%), or IgA (13.0%). Positive OCB were found in 93.5% of the MS patients and in 31.3% of OIND patients.

Autoantibodies

Twenty-one patients with RDwCNS, including 19 patients with connective tissue disease (CTD) (17 with SLE and two with UCTD) and two patients with primary vasculitides (one with MPA and one with GPA) were screened for autoantibodies. All CTD patients had at least once a positive detection of ANA in their medical history. At the time of the CSF analyses, 15 of the 19 CTD patients (78.9%) still had an increased ANA serum concentration. Thirty-six MS patients were screened for ANA and 50.0% showed a positive result. With regards to ENA differentiation, CTD patients revealed antibodies against dsDNA or anti-snRNP/Sm-antibodies in 6/19 patients (31.6%), anti-nucleosome antibodies in 6/19 (31.6%), anti-Ro-52 and anti-SS-A/Ro in 4/19 (21.1%), and anti-SS-B/La in 3/19 (15.8%) patients. All other ENA subspecificities were present in less than two subjects; 4/19 CTD patients (21.1%) did not show any positive ENA autoantibody. Cardiolipin antibodies were positive in 11/19 patients (57.9% of CTD patients). Both patients with ANCA-associated vasculitis had a positive ANCA in IIF with specificity for MPO in one (with MPA) and for PR3 in the other case (with GPA).

In patients with positive autoantibody detection in serum, we found a positive AI for anti-cardiolipin-IgG in 2/11 patients (18.2%; exact AI values: 1.6 and 2.9), a positive AI for anti-PR3-IgG in 0/1 patient (0%), a positive AI for anti-MPO-IgG in 0/1 patient (0%), and a positive AI for anti-dsDNA-IgG in 0/4 patients (0%).

MRI findings of patients with RDwCNS

The brain and/or spine MRI results were abnormal in 82.6% of all patients with RDwCNS. Most frequently, supratentorial, subcortical hyperintense T2 lesions without contrast enhancement compatible with vasculitis (60.9%) were found. Fewer RDwCNS patients displayed cerebral ischemia compatible with vasculitis (13.0%), T1 lesions with contrast enhancement (13.0%), brain stem T2 lesions (8.7%), cerebral atrophy (8.7%), cortical T2 lesions without contrast enhancement compatible with vasculitis (4.3%), and hydrocephalus (4.3%).



Discussion

To the best of our knowledge, this is the first systematic investigation of MRZR in a well-characterized larger cohort of patients with RDwCNS. The main findings of our study are that patients with RDwCNS, who may exhibit clinical symptoms as well as CSF and MRI findings similar to those of MS patients, have a positive MRZR much less frequently than MS patients.

Study population

The mean age of the subjects in all three study groups was similar; sex distribution of the OIND (that had fewer female subjects) differed from those of the other two study groups, which were matched for age and sex. Age and sex distribution of this RDwCNS cohort corresponded to the epidemiological data of German SLE patients [27]. The mean age of the MS group was unusually high for patients with RRMS [28]; this was most likely due to the fact that these MS patients were selected on the basis of their matching characteristics with RDwCNS patients. The similar age and disease duration of MS and RDwCNS patients are advantages for interpretation of their MRZR results since these items may have an effect on the prevalence of positive MRZR outcomes. Indications for this are the lower prevalence in paediatric compared to adult MS patients and increasing prevalence within the individual disease course [29, 30]. However, in the present study there was no correlation between the disease duration and the MRZR result of all patients irrespective to their diagnosis group.

MRZ reaction

To date, only few very small case series regarding MRZR prevalence in RDwCNS patients have been conducted [10]. In the study with the most RDwCNS patients, Greaf et al. found a positive MRZR-2 in three of the nine SLE patients, in the only one with Sjögren's syndrome, and in the only one with GPA, and concluded that MRZR is not MS-specific [31]. More recently, six patients with NPSLE and two with CNS vasculitis (Horton's disease) were studied for MRZR-2 without a single positive result [10]. In agreement with these findings, our study also found positive MRZR-2 in only few patients with RDwCNS; however, the proportions were noticeably smaller compared to that in the study by Greaf et al. [31]. This may have been due to the relevant difference in the sample sizes. As there is no existing standard "rule-out test" for MS, it cannot be completely excluded that the two MRZR-2 positive patients diagnosed with RDwCNS might have a MS form simultaneously. Routine CSF tests and brain MRI could not adequately differentiate RDwCNS from MS in these two patients. However, in both the patients, the extracerebral symptoms, as well as paraclinical findings like ANA (with specificity for dsDNA and anti-centromere, respectively) and activation of the complement cascade are indicators for a CTD.

Frequencies of positive MRZR and positive AIs for measles, rubella, and varicella zoster as well as the mean AI of all three viruses did not differ between the RDwCNS and OIND patients; however, all these values were much lower in both the groups compared to that in the MS group. Consequently, these study results are another indication that a positive MRZR does not represent an unspecific sign of general CNS autoimmunity in addition to those found by Jarius et al. [15, 16]. A similar MRZR-2 positivity exhibited by the present MS group has also been found in several previous studies [9, 32-34], in a recent comprehensive MRZR review [10], and in an earlier study by our group [17]. The comparatively rare positivity of MRZR-2 in the present RDwCNS and OIND groups indicates that especially MRZR-2 might be helpful in distinguishing MS from other possible diagnoses. This corresponds to the recent MRZR review that reported an overall MRZR-2 specificity of 97% for MS [10]. The specificity of MRZR-2 in the context of the present study could be increased by using an AI threshold of > 2.0, leading to the complete absence of MRZR-2 positive RDwCNS patients in this cohort and around 50% MRZR-2 positive MS patients. MRZR-1 is clearly less specific, considering the higher number of "false positive" results in the RDwCNS and OIND patients. Therefore, we conclude that the MRZR-2 definition should be preferred.

Additional paraclinical findings of RDwCNS patients

Results of the routine CSF analyses and MRI assessments illustrate the degree of difficulty faced in the paraclinical distinction between MS and RDwCNS. The majority of RDwCNS patients showed unspecific inflammatory changes such as a slight to moderate CSF pleocytosis, OCB in the CSF, or intrathecal Ig synthesis; all characteristics that are also compatible with MS [25]. OCB have a higher sensitivity in MS patients (93.5% in this cohort in accordance with [35]) compared to MRZR. However, they were found to be less specific, as illustrated by their prevalence of 30-40% in the present RDwCNS and OIND cohorts. This finding is similar to the results of another study on NPSLE patients who had OCB in 50% [36]. Accordingly, the more specific MRZR and the more sensitive OCB are both important and complementary components of the diagnostic work-up for MS [37]. A dysfunction of the blood-CSF barrier detected in around 40% of RDwCNS patients is not typical for MS patients, but a mild blood-CSF barrier dysfunction can be observed in about 20% in MS patients [38]. These findings prove that routine CSF parameters alone (without MRZR) are not sufficient to adequately distinguish between MS and RDwCNS [39]. This also stands true for brain MRI,

supported by the results in our RDwCNS cohort, where more than 60% patients displayed supratentorial, subcortical hyperintense T2 lesions. This is in consensus with earlier findings showing periventricular or subcortical white matter T2 hyperintense lesions in 50%-75% of NPSLE patients [2]. The challenge in this context is that similar T2 lesions are also very common among MS patients [40]. MRI findings not suspicious for MS, such as cerebral ischemia or hydrocephalus, were found in only few RDwCNS subjects (< 20%). Apart from the analysis of the CSF and MRI, the detection of ANAs is usually indicative of a connective tissue disease. However, non-specific ANA can be observed in some MS patients (in around 30% according to one study and in even 50% of the present MS cohort), sometimes leading to diagnostic uncertainty [41]. In the present RDwCNS cohort, most patients had at least one positive ENA autoantibody, a more reliable indication of a rheumatologic disorder compared to ANA. Furthermore, a minority of the RDwCNS patients revealed a positive AI for the respective autoantibody detected in the serum. To our knowledge, this has never been reported before.

It needs to be acknowledged that in many RDwCNS patients, extra-cerebral clinical signs such as arthralgia, skin manifestations, or affection of internal organs (lungs, heart, or kidneys) are indicative of a systemic rheumatic disorder, not of MS. However, because neurological symptoms may signal the clinical onset of RDwCNS, differentiating MS and RDwCNS can also clinically be very challenging [39]. In every patient with a suspected inflammatory CNS affection the comprehensive evaluation of all clinical, blood and CSF biomarkers, as well as neurophysiological and MRI data is indispensable. If diagnostic uncertainty then still persists, a biopsy should be considered in case of a significantly affected patient and if the exact diagnosis is relevant to the choice of the therapeutic drug.

Limitations

The most important limitations of this MRZR study are the monocentric, retrospective design and the small sample size of patients with RDwCNS. Further study limitations include the lack of data concerning ethnicity and vaccination status. Local infection rates and vaccination status with respect to the three MRZ viruses may have influenced the MRZR results, as has been shown for the rubella virus in Cuba [42]. Furthermore, ethnicity plays a role in the prevalence of certain disorders, e.g., Asian and Afro-Caribbean SLE patients have a higher rate of neuropsychiatric involvement than Caucasians [43–45]. Therefore, verification of the present MRZR results in a larger, prospective, multiethnic RDwCNS cohort with known vaccination status is warranted. Regarding the AIs for autoantibodies, it has to be considered that the measurement of autoantibodies in the CSF has not yet been established.

Conclusions

MRZR-2 may be helpful in distinguishing MS from other inflammatory autoimmune disorders with primary (such as OIND) or secondary CNS affection (such as RDwCNS). Furthermore, results of the present study strengthen the significance of CSF analysis as an indispensable tool for ensuring prompt detection of MS and its differential diagnosis, enabling appropriate treatment [37].

Abbreviations

Al: antibody index; Als: antibody indices; ANA: anti-nuclear antibody; C3: complement component 3: C3d: complement component 3d: C4: complement component 4; CNS: central nervous system; CSF: cerebrospinal fluid; CTD: connective tissue disease; dsDNA: anti-double stranded deoxyribonucleic acid; ELISA: enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay; ENA: extractable nuclear antigens; GPA: granulomatosis with polyangiitis; IgG/M/A: immunoglobulin G/M/A; LP: lumbar puncture; M: measles; MPA: microscopic polyangiitis; MPO: myeloperoxidase; MRI: magnetic resonance imaging; MRZR: MRZ reaction; MRZR: $1 \ge 1$ of 3 Als positive; MRZR: $2 \ge 2$ of 3 Als positive; MS: multiple sclerosis; NPSLE: neuropsychiatric systemic lupus erythematosus; n.s: not significant; OCB: oligoclonal bands; OIND: other inflammatory autoimmune neurological diseases affecting the CNS; PPMS: primary progressive MS; PR3: proteinase 3; QAIb: guotient of albumin in CSF/albumin in serum; QIgG: quotient of IgG in CSF/IgG in serum; Qlim: upper limit of the reference range in the CSF/serum quotient diagrams: R: rubella: RDwCNS: rheumatologic disorders with CNS involvement; RRMS: relapsing-remitting MS; SD: standard deviation; SLE: systemic lupus erythematosus; UCTD: undifferentiated connective tissue disease: Z: varicella zoster

Acknowledgements

Special thanks are due to the staff of the Institute of Virology who performed the immunoassays for MRZ; to the staff of the CSF laboratory at the Department of Neurology who analysed the CSF/serum samples; to the staff of the laboratory at the Department of Rheumatology and Clinical Immunology who helped in performing the measurements of the autoantibodies; and to Christoph Kaller who performed the matching of the MS and RDwCNS group. This research received no specific grants from any funding agency of the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

Ethical approval and consent to participate

The ethics committee of the Medical Center - University of Freiburg approved the study (EK-Fr 489/14).

Availability of data and materials

The data that support the findings of this study are included in this study or are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

Authors' contributions

TH initiated this study, enrolled study patients, performed the statistical analysis, and drafted the manuscript. RD, DE, and BB helped in drafting the manuscript. US supervised the measurements of the rheumatic antibodies. DH supervised the performance of the immunoassays in the Institute of Virology. JT and NV identified the RDwCNS patients. NV, OS, and SR participated in designing the study and helped in drafting the manuscript. All authors have read and approved the final manuscript.

Authors' information

All authors are physicians working at the Medical Center - University Freiburg, Germany. TH, RD, DE and US are resident physicians; BB is a consultant physician. DH is a senior physician at the Institute of Virology. SR is the chief physician at the Department of Neurology and Neurophysiology. OS is a senior physician at the Department of Neurology and Neurophysiology. JT and NV are senior physicians at the Department of Rheumatology and Clinical Immunology.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Competing interests

TH received travel grants from Bayer Vital GmbH and Novartis. RD, DE, and US report no conflicts of interest for this study. BB received travel grants and/or training expenses from Bayer Vital GmbH, Ipsen Pharma GmbH, Norvartis, and Genzyme, as well as lecture fees from Ipsen Pharma GmbH. DH received lecture fees from Serion. OS and SR report receiving consulting and lecture fees, grant, and research support from Baxter, Bayer Vital GmbH, Biogen Idec, Genzyme, Merck Serono, Novartis, RG, Sanofi-Aventis, and Teva. SR indicates that he is a founding executive board member of ravo Diagnosticka GmbH (Oltmannsstrasse 5, D-79100 Freiburg, Germany), which sells invitro diagnostic medical devices for the detection of infectious diseases and paraneoplastic autoantibodies. JT and NV have served on the advisory boards of, have participated in lectures for, and/or received research or travel grants from AbbVie, GSK, Janssen-Cilag, Lilly, Medac, Novartis, Pfizer, and Roche.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Author details

¹Department of Neurology and Neurophysiology, Faculty of Medicine, Medical Center – University of Freiburg, Breisacher Strasse 64, D-79106 Freiburg, Germany. ²Department of Psychiatry and Psychotherapy, Faculty of Medicine, Medical Center - University of Freiburg, Hauptstraße 5, D-79104 Freiburg, Germany. ³Institute of Virology, University Medical Center Freiburg, Hermann-Herder-Strasse 11, D-79104 Freiburg, Germany. ⁴Department of Rheumatology and Clinical Immunology, Faculty of Medicine, Medical Center – University of Freiburg, Hugstetter Strasse 55, D-79106 Freiburg, Germany.

Received: 1 July 2017 Accepted: 18 January 2018 Published online: 31 January 2018

References

- Rhiannon JJ. Systemic lupus erythematosus involving the nervous system: presentation, pathogenesis, and management. Clin Rev Allergy Immunol. 2008;34:356–60. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12016-007-8052-z.
- Govoni M, Bortoluzzi A, Padovan M, Silvagni E, Borrelli M, Donelli F, et al. The diagnosis and clinical management of the neuropsychiatric manifestations of lupus. J Autoimmun. 2016;74:41–72. https://doi.org/10. 1016/j.jaut.2016.06.013.
- Harirchian MH, Saberi H, Najafizadeh SR, Hashemi SA. Evaluation of brain and cervical MRI abnormality rates in patients with systemic lupus erythematosus with or without neurological manifestations. Iran J Radiol. 2011;8:157–60. https://doi.org/10.5812/kmp.iranjradiol.17351065.3138.
- Asakura K, Muto T. Neurological involvement in Wegener's granulomatosis. Brain Nerve. 2013;65:1311–7.
- Brinar VV, Cikes N, Petelin Z, Hlavati M, Poser CM. Cerebral demyelination in Wegener's granulomatosis. Clin Neurol Neurosurg. 2004;106:233–6. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.clineuro.2004.02.021.
- Abdel Razek AAK, Alvarez H, Bagg S, Refaat S, Castillo M. Imaging spectrum of CNS vasculitis. Radiographics. 2014;34:873–94. https://doi.org/10.1148/rg. 344135028.
- Akman-Demir G, Mutlu M, Kiyat-Atamer A, Shugaiv E, Kurtuncu M, Tugal-Tutkun I, et al. Behçet's disease patients with multiple sclerosis-like features: discriminative value of Barkhof criteria. Clin Exp Rheumatol. 2015;33:S80–4.
- Yong H, Chartier G, Quandt J. Modulating inflammation and neuroprotection in multiple sclerosis. J Neurosci Res. 2017; https://doi.org/ 10.1002/jnr.24090.
- Felgenhauer K, Reiber H. The diagnostic significance of antibody specificity indices in multiple sclerosis and herpes virus induced diseases of the nervous system. Clin Investig. 1992;70:28–37.
- Jarius S, Eichhorn P, Franciotta D, Petereit HF, Akman-Demir G, Wick M, Wildemann B. The MRZ reaction as a highly specific marker of multiple sclerosis: re-evaluation and structured review of the literature. J Neurol. 2017;264:453–66. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00415-016-8360-4.
- Hottenrott T, Dersch R, Berger B, Rauer S, Huzly D, Stich O. The MRZ reaction in primary progressive multiple sclerosis. Fluids Barriers CNS. 2017; 14:2. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12987-016-0049-7.
- Wurster U, Stachan R, Windhagen A, Petereit HF, Leweke FM. Reference values for standard cerebrospinal fluid examinations in multiple sclerosis.: results from 99 healthy volunteers. Mult Scler. 2006;P248

Page 8 of 9

- Bednárová J, Stourac P, Adam P. Relevance of immunological variables in neuroborreliosis and multiple sclerosis. Acta Neurol Scand. 2005;112:97–102. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-0404.2005.00418.x.
- Puccioni-Sohler M, Kitze B, Felgenhauer K, Graef IT, Lange P, Novis S, et al. The value of CSF analysis for the differential diagnosis of HTLV-I associated myelopathy and multiple sclerosis. Arq Neuropsiquiatr. 1995;53:760–5.
- Jarius S, Franciotta D, Bergamaschi R, Rauer S, Wandinger KP, Petereit HF, et al. Polyspecific, antiviral immune response distinguishes multiple sclerosis and neuromyelitis optica. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry. 2008;79:1134–6. https://doi.org/10.1136/jnnp.2007.133330.
- Jarius S, Eichhorn P, Jacobi C, Wildemann B, Wick M, Voltz R. The intrathecal, polyspecific antiviral immune response: specific for MS or a general marker of CNS autoimmunity? J Neurol Sci. 2009;280:98–100. https://doi.org/10. 1016/j.jns.2008.08.002.
- Hottenrott T, Dersch R, Berger B, Rauer S, Eckenweiler M, Huzly D, Stich O. The intrathecal, polyspecific antiviral immune response in neurosarcoidosis, acute disseminated encephalomyelitis and autoimmune encephalitis compared to multiple sclerosis in a tertiary hospital cohort. Fluids Barriers CNS. 2015;12:27. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12987-015-0024-8.
- Teunissen CE, Petzold A, Bennett JL, Berven FS, Brundin L, Comabella M, et al. A consensus protocol for the standardization of cerebrospinal fluid collection and biobanking. Neurology. 2009;73(22):1914. https://doi.org/10. 1212/WNL.0b013e3181c47cc2.
- Hochberg MC. Updating the American College of Rheumatology revised criteria for the classification of systemic lupus erythematosus. Arthritis Rheum. 1997;40(1725) https://doi.org/10.1002/1529-0131(199709)40:9< 1725::AID-ART29>3.0.CO;2-Y.
- Jennette JC, Falk RJ, bacon PA, Basu N, Cid MC, Ferrario F, et al. 2012 revised international Chapel Hill consensus conference nomenclature of Vasculitides. Arthritis Rheum. 2013;65:1–11. https://doi.org/10.1002/art.37715.
- Leavitt RY, Fauci AS, Bloch DA, Michel BA, Hunder GG, Arend WP, et al. The American College of Rheumatology 1990 criteria for the classification of Wegener's granulomatosis. Arthritis Rheum. 1990;33:1101–7.
- Evaluation of diagnostic ('classification') criteria in Behçet's disease–towards internationally agreed criteria. The International Study Group for Behçet's disease. Br J Rheumatol. 1992;31:299–308.
- Polman CH, Reingold SC, Banwell B, Clanet M, Cohen JA, Filippi M, et al. Diagnostic criteria for multiple sclerosis: 2010 revisions to the McDonald criteria. Ann Neurol. 2011;69:292–302. https://doi.org/10.1002/ana.22366.
- Kaller CP, Loosli SV, Rahm B, Gössel A, Schieting S, Hornig T, et al. Working memory in schizophrenia: behavioral and neural evidence for reduced susceptibility to item-specific proactive interference. Biol Psychiatry. 2014;76: 486–94. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biopsych.2014.03.012.
- Reiber H. Cerebrospinal fluid–physiology, analysis and interpretation of protein patterns for diagnosis of neurological diseases. Mult Scler. 1998;4: 99–107. https://doi.org/10.1177/135245859800400302.
- Andersson M, Alvarez-Cermeño J, Bernardi G, Cogato I, Fredman P, Frederiksen J, et al. Cerebrospinal fluid in the diagnosis of multiple sclerosis: a consensus report. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry. 1994;57:897–902.
- Brinks R, Fischer-Betz R, Sander O, Richter JG, Chehab G, Schneider M. Agespecific prevalence of diagnosed systemic lupus erythematosus in Germany 2002 and projection to 2030. Lupus. 2014;23:1407–11. https://doi.org/10. 1177/0961203314540352.
- Lublin FD, Reingold SC. Defining the clinical course of multiple sclerosis: results of an international survey. National Multiple Sclerosis Society (USA) advisory committee on clinical trials of new agents in multiple sclerosis. Neurology. 1996;46:907–11.
- Petereit H-F, Reske D. Expansion Of antibody reactivity in the cerebrospinal fluid of multiple sclerosis patients - follow-up and clinical implications. Cerebrospinal Fluid Res. 2005;2:3. https://doi.org/10.1186/1743-8454-2-3.
- Reiber H, Teut M, Pohl D, Rostasy KM, Hanefeld F. Paediatric and adult multiple sclerosis: age-related differences and time course of the neuroimmunological response in cerebrospinal fluid. Mult Scler. 2009;15: 1466–80. https://doi.org/10.1177/1352458509348418.
- Graef IT, Henze T, Reiber H. Polyspezifische Immunreaktion im ZNS bei Autoimmunerkrankungen mit ZNS-Beteiligung. Z Arztl Fortbild (Jena). 1994;88:587–91.
- Rosche B, Laurent S, Conradi S, Hofmann J, Ruprecht K, Harms L. Measles IgG antibody index correlates with T2 lesion load on MRI in patients with early multiple sclerosis. PLoS One. 2012;7:e28094. https://doi.org/10.1371/ journal.pone.0028094.

- Kułakowska A, Mroczko B, Mantur M, Lelental N, Tarasiuk J, Kapica-Topczewska K, et al. Multiplexing analysis of the polyspecific intrathecal immune response in multiple sclerosis. Methods. 2012;56:528–31. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.ymeth.2012.03.002.
- Reiber H, Ungefehr S, Jacobi C. The intrathecal, polyspecific and oligoclonal immune response in multiple sclerosis. Mult Scler. 1998;4:111–7. https://doi. org/10.1177/135245859800400304.
- Siritho S, Freedman MS. The prognostic significance of cerebrospinal fluid in multiple sclerosis. J Neurol Sci. 2009;279:21–5. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jns. 2008.12.029.
- McLean BN, Luxton RW, Thompson EJ. A study of immunoglobulin G in the cerebrospinal fluid of 1007 patients with suspected neurological disease using isoelectric focusing and the log IgG-index. A comparison and diagnostic applications. Brain. 1990;113(Pt 5):1269–89.
- Tumani H, Deisenhammer F, Giovannoni G, Gold R, Hartung H-P, Hemmer B, et al. Revised McDonald criteria: the persisting importance of cerebrospinal fluid analysis. Ann Neurol. 2011;70:520; author reply 521. https://doi.org/10. 1002/ana.22508.
- Liebsch R, Kornhuber ME, Dietl D, Gräfin von Einsiedel H, Conrad B. Blood-CSF barrier integrity in multiple sclerosis. Acta Neurol Scand. 1996;94:404–10.
- Theodoridou A, Settas L. Demyelination in rheumatic diseases. Postgrad Med J. 2008;84:127–32. https://doi.org/10.1136/jnnp.2005.075861.
- Filippi M, Rocca MA, Ciccarelli O, de SN, Evangelou N, Kappos L, et al. MRI criteria for the diagnosis of multiple sclerosis: MAGNIMS consensus guidelines. Lancet Neurol. 2016;15:292–303. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1474-4422(15)00393-2.
- 41. Barned S, Goodman AD, Mattson DH. Frequency of anti-nuclear antibodies in multiple sclerosis. Neurology. 1995;45:384–5.
- Robinson-Agramonte M, Reiber H, Cabrera-Gomez JA, Galvizu R. Intrathecal polyspecific immune response to neurotropic viruses in multiple sclerosis: a comparative report from Cuban patients. Acta Neurol Scand. 2007;115:312– 8. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-0404.2006.00755.x.
- Samanta A, Feehally J, Roy S, Nichol FE, Sheldon PJ, Walls J. High prevalence of systemic disease and mortality in Asian subjects with systemic lupus erythematosus. Ann Rheum Dis. 1991;50:490–2.
- 44. Thumboo J, Uramoto K, O'Fallon WM, Fong KY, Boey ML, Feng PH, et al. A comparative study of the clinical manifestations of systemic lupus erythematosus in Caucasians in Rochester, Minnesota, and Chinese in Singapore, from 1980 to 1992. Arthritis Rheum. 2001;45:494–500.
- Peschken CA, Katz SJ, Silverman E, Pope JE, Fortin PR, Pineau C, et al. The 1000 Canadian faces of lupus: determinants of disease outcome in a large multiethnic cohort. J Rheumatol. 2009;36:1200–8. https://doi.org/10.3899/ jrheum.080912.

Submit your next manuscript to BioMed Central and we will help you at every step:

- We accept pre-submission inquiries
- Our selector tool helps you to find the most relevant journal
- We provide round the clock customer support
- Convenient online submission
- Thorough peer review
- Inclusion in PubMed and all major indexing services
- Maximum visibility for your research

Submit your manuscript at www.biomedcentral.com/submit

